

**FY 2006 Judicial Branch
Appropriations Bill
House File 807
*As amended by the Senate
Senate/House Comparison***

Last Action:

Senate Floor

May 4, 2005

Executive Summary Only

An Act relating to and making appropriations to the Judicial Branch, and providing an effective date.

**Fiscal Services Division
Legislative Services Agency**

NOTES ON BILLS AND AMENDMENTS (NOBA)

Available on line at <http://www3.legis.state.ia.us/noba/index.jsp>

LSA Contact: Jennifer Acton (17846)

**SENATE/HOUSE COMPARISON
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF MAJOR DIFFERENCES**

**HOUSE FILE 807 AS AMENDED BY THE SENATE
FY 2006 JUDICIAL BRANCH APPROP. BILL**

| Bill Totals | GENERAL FUND | | | Comment |
|--|---------------|---------------|------------------|--|
| | House | Senate | Senate vs. House | |
| Total General Fund Appropriations in HF 807: | \$120,123,946 | \$120,543,946 | \$420,000 | House funds \$320,000 and 15.0 FTE positions for magistrates in HF 882 (FY 2006 Standing Appropriations Bill). The Senate incorporated this language in HF 807 and appropriates \$100,000 in new General Fund money for a youth enrichment pilot project. |

Major Funding and Language Differences:

- Senate eliminates the \$600,000 contingent FY 2005 supplemental from Microsoft settlement funds for technology.
- Senate appropriates \$100,000 for a youth enrichment pilot project. The pilot project would provide a grant to establish mentoring programs for offenders between the ages of 16 to 22 that have been charged with a felony that reside in a county with a population that exceeds 300,000. This is new language.
- Senate specifies that the Supreme Court may adopt rules to allow additional compensation for jurors whose attendance and services exceed seven days. This is new language.

Items of Agreement in Separate Bills:

- Increases the number of appropriated magistrates from 191 to 206, an increase of 15. Also, increases the Judicial Branch appropriation by \$320,000 to help offset the costs of the additional magistrates. The House and Senate agree, but the House approved this language in HF 882 (Standing Appropriations) and the Senate incorporated this language in HF 807.
- Allows pre-sentence investigation reports to be electronically mailed to county attorneys. The House and Senate agree, but the House approved this language in HF 882 (Standing Appropriations Bill) and the Senate incorporated this language in HF 807.
- Eliminates the requirement that clerks of district court re-send notices by mail unless they receive an updated mailing address. The House and Senate agree, but the House approved this language in HF 882 (Standing Appropriations Bill) and the Senate incorporated this language in HF 807.
- Specifies it is the intent of the General Assembly that the Supreme Court study tribal court issues. The House and Senate agree, but the House approved this language in HF 882 (Standing Appropriations Bill) and the Senate incorporated this language in HF 807.

Summary Data

General Fund

| H.F. 807 as amended by the Senate | Estimated FY 2005 | Gov Rec FY 2006 | House Action FY 2006 | Senate Action FY 2006 | Senate vs. House | Page & Line Number |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| Justice System | \$ 120,123,946 | \$ 130,714,285 | \$ 120,123,946 | \$ 120,543,946 | \$ 420,000 | |
| Grand Total | <u>\$ 120,123,946</u> | <u>\$ 130,714,285</u> | <u>\$ 120,123,946</u> | <u>\$ 120,543,946</u> | <u>\$ 420,000</u> | |

Justice System

General Fund

| H.F. 807 as amended by the Senate | Estimated FY 2005 | Gov Rec FY 2006 | House Action FY 2006 | Senate Action FY 2006 | Senate vs. House | Page & Line Number |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| Judicial Branch | | | | | | |
| Judicial Branch | \$ 118,084,282 | \$ 125,734,868 | \$ 118,084,282 | \$ 118,404,282 | \$ 320,000 | PG 1 LN 8 |
| Judicial Retirement | 2,039,664 | 4,979,417 | 2,039,664 | 2,039,664 | 0 | PG 3 LN 35 |
| Youth Enrichment Pilot Project | | | | 100,000 | 100,000 | PG 1 LN 20 |
| Total Judicial Branch | \$ 120,123,946 | \$ 130,714,285 | \$ 120,123,946 | \$ 120,543,946 | \$ 420,000 | |
| Total Justice System | \$ 120,123,946 | \$ 130,714,285 | \$ 120,123,946 | \$ 120,543,946 | \$ 420,000 | |

Summary Data

FTE

| H.F. 807 as amended by the Senate | Estimated FY 2005 | Gov Rec FY 2006 | House Action FY 2006 | Senate Action FY 2006 | Senate vs. House | Page & Line Number |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| Justice System | 1,817.85 | 1,963.81 | 1,817.85 | 1,832.85 | 15.00 | |
| Grand Total | <u>1,817.85</u> | <u>1,963.81</u> | <u>1,817.85</u> | <u>1,832.85</u> | <u>15.00</u> | |

Justice System

FTE

| H.F. 807 as amended by the Senate | Estimated FY 2005 | Gov Rec FY 2006 | House Action FY 2006 | Senate Action FY 2006 | Senate vs. House | Page & Line Number |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| <u>Judicial Branch</u> | | | | | | |
| Judicial Branch | 1,817.85 | 1,963.81 | 1,817.85 | 1,832.85 | 15.00 | PG 1 LN 8 |